



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/644,204 | 08/20/2003 | Martin Lund | 14226US02 | 5736 |
| 23446 7590 06/12/2008 MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD 500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL 60661 | | | | |
| EXAMINER BOAKYE, ALEXANDER O | | | | |
| ART UNIT 2616 | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| MAIL DATE 06/12/2008 | | DELIVERY MODE PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/644,204

Applicant(s)

LUND, MARTIN

Examiner

ALEXANDER BOAKYE

Art Unit

2616

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 12, 13, 16-23, 28, 29 and 32-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 12, 13, 16, 32-39 and 41-45 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17, 19-23, 28-29, 40, 46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-849)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 19, 20-23, 28-29, 40, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregg et al. (US Patent # 5,944,797) in view of m McTiffin (US Patent # 5,406,550).

Regarding claims 17,19, Gregg ('797) teaches a method of providing flow control in a communication system comprising (Fig. 1): establishing a bi-directional communication link (108) with a remote system. Gregg differs from the claimed invention in that Gregg does not teach embedding flow control data in a secondary communication channel of the communication link for use by a primary communication channel of the communication link. However, McTiffin teaches embedding flow control data in a secondary communication

channel of the communication link for use by a primary communication channel of the communication link (column 1, lines 14-34). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of McTiffin into the system of Gregg with motivation being that it provides overflow of data.

Regarding claim 20, Gregg ('797) teaches that the secondary communication channel comprises start and stop packet codes (column 8, lines 50-60; packet codes corresponds to instructions).

Regarding claim 21, Gregg ('797) teaches that the secondary communication channel comprises start/stop symbols (the claimed start/stop symbol is inherent in state machine of Gregg ('797)).

Regarding claim 22, Gregg ('797) teaches that the transmission is suspended at the end of a word within a frame (see 904 of Fig. 9).

Regarding claim 23, the claimed flow control data is embedded in a secondary communication channel of the communications link from the second system to the first system is inherent in Fig. 1 of Gregg ('797).

Regarding claim 28, Gregg ('797) further teaches that communication link has at least two lines (column 3, lines 45-48).

Regarding claim 29, Gregg ('787) teaches that the communication link has at least four lanes (the claimed communication link with four lanes is inherent in the Fiber Optic Line).

Claim 40 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 17.

Claim 46 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 17.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 18 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-7, 12-13, 16, 32-39, 41-45 are allowable.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 1-7, 12-13, 16, 35-37, 41, 42, 43, the prior art of record does not teach suspending the transmission of the frame of data without waiting for the end of the frame when the first receives a stop transmission request embedded in a secondary communication channel between the second system and the first system. As to claims 32-39, the prior art of record does not teach a controller operably coupled to a full-duplex communication link; wherein the controller includes an encoder that encodes a secondary channel, and a decoder that decodes a received communication channel, wherein the secondary communications channel includes word level coding, and the system stops transmission of data without waiting for the end of a packet in response to

Art Unit: 2616

word level commands received on the secondary communication channel. As to claim 38, 39, 44, 45 the prior art of record does not teach resuming transmission of the frame with the next word following the receipt of a start transmission code embedded in the secondary communication channel, wherein the secondary communication channel is formed from groups of enhanced coded data words occurring at regular intervals in a data frame.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 12-13, 16-23, 28, 29,32-46 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The Fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 2616

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or PUBLIC PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding. Should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) numbers at 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

/ALEXANDER BOAKYE/

Examiner, Art Unit 2616

6/08/2008